Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/12/19 : CIA-RDP10-00750R000100020008-0 Lan Senator monge, Kerolling your friendleness, Smerite and compassion as well as your effective shepherding of our legislation in 1982, Stake Courage in englosing This hale along with my teller as king for Jour Continued Support for possible her legislation. What was on acadenic question When Bill and I both appeared before The House Select Committee In 1982 in Support of Title VI of the FY 1983 Satelligence Authorization Act has now become a reality - as Bill devorced me a few worths ago. Dan determined to keep faith

I in The couse Through my work To Secure This additional legis lation so Sorely needed by any 'Sisters' who were devorced by Their husbands before The effective date of PL 97-269. We would indeed be grateful if we Could know That you Denotor, and members of your Staff Stell retoen on abellety interest in bringing This legalature effort full-cercle Sucrele Barbara Colby P.S. Duportant reason for This water being post-dated after the enalsted Letter is That in The meantine I have become a new grandmother! efter bob George Colly 5 blan Sixten and brother! B.C.

STAT

March 19, 1985

Hon. Daniel K. Inouye Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Inouye:

I write to ask your help in gaining retirement and health benefits for those women left out of the CIA Spouses' Retirement Equity Act of 1982. Your work in enacting that constructive legislation is well remembered and much applauded by CIA women. When you offered the legislation to the Senate, you noted:

"Establishing the effective date in this way leaves certain spouses, who are divorced before the enactment of the amendments, without additional protection. While the Committee realizes that this group has certainly made an important contribution as a result of their marriages, it has decided not to pursue a statutory remedy in their favor at this time. This is because to do so would be retroactively to change the amount of payments already being received under CIARDS and possibly even affect the validity of existing divorce decrees. At some future date the Congress may wish, nevertheless, to consider providing additional benefits to this group in recognition of their important service."

Senator, some fifty of those former spouses—they were the very embodiment of the injury your act sought to remedy—are still waiting for action to correct this serious inequity. Congress should act now.

These women also urgently need government health benefits. As you are aware, the 98th Congress provided such benefits to former spouses of Civil Service employees. Former CIA spouses should receive equal treatment.

We are aware that you have left the intelligence oversight committee but we are confident that you are still interested in correcting the inequity you saw in the 1982 act. We ask now that you help us find a sponsor on the intelligence committee to introduce corrective legislation.

I and my colleagues in this endeaver are prepared to meet with you at any time to discuss this situation.

Sincerely,

Barbara Colby